UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/784,485	02/20/2004	Michael L. Howard	2291.2.9.2	2179	
21552 MADSON & A	7590 08/22/200 .USTIN	8	EXAMINER		
15 WEST SOU		NGUYEN, TANH Q			
SUITE 900 SALT LAKE C	TTY, UT 84101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/784,4	485	HOWARD ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		TANH Q	. NGUYEN	2182		
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commur Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with th	e correspondence ac	ddress	
A SHOI WHICH - Extensic after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply b will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f oplication to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this concept (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ T 3)□ S	esponsive to communication(s) file his action is FINAL . ince this application is in condition losed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	ot for formal matters,	•	e merits is	
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4a 5) □ C 6) ☑ C 7) □ C 8) □ C	laim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the allowed. laim(s) is/are allowed. laim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. laim(s) is/are objected to. laim(s) are subject to restrict the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification.	re withdrawn from o				
10)⊠ Tł A R	ne specification is objected to by trace drawing(s) filed on 20 May 2008 pplicant may not request that any objected to be traced and one of the content of t	is/are: a)⊠ accept ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. ired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Informa) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 2182

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 1, 8, 13, 15 - each recites "wherein the adapter is a handheld device that comprises a single board" in the last line of the claim. This limitation suggests only one board in the adapter. Each of FIG. 9, FIG. 10 and FIG. 12 shows two boards: a single board computer 130 and data transceiver board 132 and the specification also discloses "the two boards are connected together by connecting wiring 134 (page 10, line 24) — hence an adapter that comprises two boards, not one board as claimed.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. See the written description rejection above.

Art Unit: 2182

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Russell et al. (US 5,623,604) in view of Lieu et al. (US 6,708,045).
- 7. <u>As per claim 1</u>, Russell teaches a communications adapter [2, FIG. 1; FIG. 4] for facilitating electronic communications with an electronic device [4, FIG. 1] wherein the adapter is remotely reprogrammable by a provider computer [14, FIG. 1] through a communications network [6, FIG. 1], the adapter comprising:

a communications port [226, FIG. 4] for electronically connecting the adapter to the electronic device, wherein the adapter does not comprise a user interface with a display that is integrated as a part of the adapter;

Application/Control Number: 10/784,485

Art Unit: 2182

communications hardware [202, 203, 204, 206, 208 – FIG. 4] for communicating automatically with the provider computer through the communications network;

Page 4

a processor [216, FIG. 4]; and

memory [220, 222, 228, 230 – FIG. 4] programmed to cause the adapter to send an identification of the adapter to the provider computer via the communications network [col. 33, lines 34-37; col. 35, lines 5-10; 232 – FIG. 4] and to receive new data sent by the provider computer via the communications network to update a program of the adapter [S36, FIG. 5C; S1619, FIG. 16B; FIG. 20; FIG. 24], wherein the new data comprises device instructions for the processor for communicating with the electronic device through the communications port [col. 1, line 61-col. 2, line 33], wherein the adapter is a handheld device that comprises a single-board [2, FIG. 3; FIG. 4; col. 10, lines 42-45].

Russell does not specifically teach the communications network being a wireless network.

Lieu teaches wireless communications obviating the need for in-building wiring and cabling [col. 1, lines 15-28]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Russell's adapter in a wireless network environment because wireless communications obviate the need for in-building wiring and cabling – as suggested by Lieu.

8. <u>As per claims 2-4</u>, Lieu teaches the wireless network being a pager network, and the wireless network being cellular network [col. 1, lines 14-16]. Also, since it was known for cellular network and pager network to be global communications network for

Application/Control Number: 10/784,485

Art Unit: 2182

worldwide coverage [e.g. Lindgren (US 6,163,274) at col. 3, lines 46-48], it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that for the wireless network to be a global communications network in order to be able to have world-wide communications.

Page 5

- 9. <u>As per claims 5-7</u>, Russell teaches the new data comprising a translator that includes an object representation of the electronic device, a translator that includes a functional representation of the electronic device [the update program is a translator that provides a new representation of the electronic device: col. 1, lines 11-20].
- 10. <u>As per claim 7</u>, Russell teaches the memory being programmed to cause the adapter to identify the electronic device and to further send an identification of the electronic device to the provider computer via the communications network [col. 8, lines 45-50].
- 11. <u>As per claims 8-12</u>, the claims generally correspond to claims 1-2, 5-7 with the electronic device having an external communications port [100, 102 FIG. 3], and the new instructions being used for communications with the electronic device without altering any program code on the electronic device (no alteration necessary on the printer) and are therefore rejected on the same bases.
- 12. As per claims 13-14, the claims generally correspond to claims 1-2 with the adapter connected to an external communications port of the electronic device [100, 102 FIG. 3], establishing communications with the provider computer [col. 2, lines 49-51] and are therefore rejected on the same bases.
- 13. As per claim 15, see the rejections of claims 1, 8 above.

Art Unit: 2182

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TANH Q. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30AM-6:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TARIQ HAFIZ can be reached on (571)272-6729. The fax phone number

Art Unit: 2182

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TANH Q. NGUYEN/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2182

TQN: August 20, 2008